

ANDERSON'S DOUBLE COMPLEX AND GAMMA MONOMIALS FOR RATIONAL FUNCTION FIELDS

SUNGHAN BAE, ERNST-ULRICH GEKELER, PYUNG-LYUN KANG,
 AND LINSHENG YIN

ABSTRACT. We investigate algebraic Γ -monomials of Thakur's positive characteristic Γ -function, by using Anderson and Das' double complex method of computing the sign cohomology of the universal ordinary distribution. We prove that the Γ -monomial associated to an element of the second sign cohomology of the universal ordinary distribution of $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ generates a Kummer extension of some Carlitz cyclotomic function field, which is also a Galois extension of the base field $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$. These results are characteristic- p analogues of those of Deligne on classical Γ -monomials, proofs of which were given by Das using the double complex method. In this paper, we also obtain some results on e -monomials of Carlitz's exponential function.

0. INTRODUCTION

In [An1] Anderson invented a remarkable method of computing in an identical way the sign cohomology of the universal ordinary distributions, both for the rational number field and a global function field. He introduced a certain double complex which is a resolution of the universal ordinary distribution. This double complex enabled him to construct canonical basis classes of the sign cohomology. Das [Da] used this double complex in the rational number field case for the study of classical Γ -monomials and got a series of results, which greatly illuminated the power of Anderson's method.

In this paper, using Anderson's double complex and following Das' method, we study Γ -monomials for rational function fields. Thakur [Th] defined the Γ -function in characteristic p and showed that it has many interesting properties analogous to the classical Γ -function. Especially, it satisfies a reflection formula and a multiplication formula. Sinha [Si] used Anderson's soliton theory to develop an analogue of Deligne's reciprocity for function fields. In the course of this he found that certain Γ -monomials generate Kummer extensions of cyclotomic function fields, a result which will be reproved below with the aid of the double complex. Using Γ -monomials we also find extensions of cyclotomic function fields, and these happen to be Galois even over the basic rational function field.

We would like to emphasize the following technical points: Besides the double complex, there are several main ingredients in computing the Γ -monomials in Das'

Received by the editors March 12, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11R58.

The first author was supported by KOSEF cooperative Research Fund and DFG.

The fourth author was supported by Distinguished Young Grant in China and a fund from Tsinghua.

paper, and these are used frequently. In the case of a rational function field there are more roots of unity, which causes the definitions of the vertical shift operator and “canonical lifting operator” to be more complicated. In addition, the reflection formula and the multiplication formula of the Γ -function play important roles in our study. These formulae in the function field case have some extra factors, and thus one has to be more careful in applying them.

1. THE DOUBLE COMPLEX FOR $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$

Let $K = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$ and $A = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$, the rational function field and polynomial ring, respectively, over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q . We fix a generator γ of $J = \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Let \mathcal{A} be the free abelian group generated by symbols $[a]$ with $a \in K/A$. Let \mathbb{U} be the quotient of \mathcal{A} by the subgroup generated by all elements $[a] - \sum_{\mathbf{n}b=a} [b]$, where \mathbf{n} is a monic polynomial in A , and \mathbb{U}^- (resp. \mathbb{U}^+) the quotient of \mathcal{A} by the subgroup generated by all elements $[a] - \sum_{\mathbf{n}b=a} [b]$, along with all the $\sum_{\theta \in J} [\theta a]$ (resp. $[a] - [\gamma a]$). We call the group \mathbb{U} the universal ordinary distribution on K/A . Further, J acts on \mathbb{U} in the natural way. Let $H^*(J, \mathbb{U})$ denote the sign cohomology group for \mathbb{U} . It is known that $\text{tor}(\mathbb{U}^+) \simeq H^1(J, \mathbb{U})$ and $\text{tor}(\mathbb{U}^-) \simeq H^2(J, \mathbb{U})$ ([BGY], Proposition 2.4). If $\mathbf{a} = \sum m_i [a_i] \in \mathcal{A}$ represents an element in $H^*(J, \mathbb{U})$, we often write $\mathbf{a} \in H^*(J, \mathbb{U})$. It is clear from the context whether elements of \mathcal{A} , \mathbb{U} , $H^1(J, \mathbb{U})$, or $H^2(J, \mathbb{U})$ are intended. We use gothic letters to denote elements of A . Define

$$\left\langle \frac{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{f}} \right\rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathbf{a} \text{ is monic} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

assuming that $\deg \mathbf{a} < \deg \mathbf{f}$ and that \mathbf{f} is monic. For $\mathbf{a} = \sum m_i [a_i] \in \mathcal{A}$ we define the *total sum* $TS(\mathbf{a})$ and *internal sum* $IS(\mathbf{a})$ of \mathbf{a} by $\sum m_i$ and by $IS(\mathbf{a}) = \sum m_i \langle a_i \rangle$, respectively. Let \mathbf{f} be the least common multiple of the denominators of the a_i and let $\mathbf{t} \in (A/\mathbf{f})^*$. We define $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{t}}$ by

$$\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{t}} = \sum m_i [\mathbf{t}a_i].$$

Let \mathcal{P} be the set of all monic irreducible polynomials in A . We fix a linear order “ $<$ ” on \mathcal{P} . Let

$$\mathcal{S} = \{[a, \mathbf{g}, n] : a \in K/A, \mathbf{g} \text{ a squarefree monic polynomial, } n \text{ an integer}\}.$$

We denote by $|\mathbf{g}|$ the number of monic irreducible polynomials dividing \mathbf{g} . We define a double complex $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{K}$ as follows: $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{K}_{m,n}$ = the free abelian group generated by the symbols $[a, \mathbf{g}, n] \in \mathcal{S}$ with $m = |\mathbf{g}|$. The chain maps ∂ and δ of bidegree $(-1, 0)$ and $(0, -1)$, respectively, are defined by

$$\partial[a, \mathbf{g}, n] = \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathbf{g}|} (-1)^{i-1} ([a, \mathbf{g}/\mathbf{p}_i, n] - \sum_{\mathbf{p}_i b=a} [b, \mathbf{g}/\mathbf{p}_i, n]),$$

where $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{p}_1 \cdots \mathbf{p}_m$ with $\mathbf{p}_i < \mathbf{p}_j$ for $i < j$, and

$$\delta[a, \mathbf{g}, n] = \begin{cases} (-1)^m \sum_{i=0}^{q-2} [\gamma^i a, \mathbf{g}, n-1], & \text{for } n \text{ odd,} \\ (-1)^m ([a, \mathbf{g}, n-1] - [\gamma a, \mathbf{g}, n-1]), & \text{for } n \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\partial^2 = 0, \quad \delta^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta\partial + \partial\delta = 0.$$

Let $(T(\mathbb{SK}), \partial + \delta)$ be the total complex of \mathbb{SK} . We use the same notation \mathbb{SK} for the total complex when the meaning is evident.

Let \mathbb{SK}' be the subcomplex of \mathbb{SK} generated by the elements $\beta(a, n)[a, \mathbf{g}, n]$, where

$$\beta(a, n) = \begin{cases} q-1, & \text{if } a = 0 \text{ and } n \text{ is even,} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then following the method employed by Ouyang in [Ou], we have:

Proposition 1. *Let \mathbb{U} be the universal ordinary distribution on K/A . There exist canonical isomorphisms*

$$H^2(J, \mathbb{U}) = H_0(H_0(\mathbb{SK}, \partial), \delta) = H_0(\mathbb{SK}, \partial + \delta) = H_0(\mathbb{SK}/\mathbb{SK}', \partial + \delta)$$

and

$$H^1(J, \mathbb{U}) = H_{-1}(H_0(\mathbb{SK}, \partial), \delta) = H_{-1}(\mathbb{SK}, \partial + \delta) = H_{-1}(\mathbb{SK}/\mathbb{SK}', \partial + \delta).$$

Since

$$\delta([0, \mathbf{g}, n]) = \begin{cases} (-1)^n(q-1)[0, \mathbf{g}, n-1], & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

and $\partial([0, \mathbf{g}, n])$ lies in \mathbb{SK}' , we have:

Proposition 2. *Given a square-free monic polynomial \mathbf{g} with $|\mathbf{g}| = i$, we define*

$$k_{\mathbf{g}} = \begin{cases} [0, \mathbf{g}, -i] \in \mathbb{SK}_{i,-i}/\mathbb{SK}'_{i,-i}, & \text{for } i \text{ even,} \\ [0, \mathbf{g}, -i-1] \in \mathbb{SK}_{i,-i-1}/\mathbb{SK}'_{i,-i-1}, & \text{for } i \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then the collection $\{k_{\mathbf{g}}; |\mathbf{g}| \text{ even}\}$ (resp. $\{k_{\mathbf{g}}; |\mathbf{g}| \text{ odd}\}$) forms a $\mathbb{Z}/(q-1)$ -basis for $H_0(\mathbb{SK}/\mathbb{SK}', \partial + \delta)$ (resp. $H_{-1}(\mathbb{SK}/\mathbb{SK}', \partial + \delta)$). The $k_{\mathbf{g}}$ are referred to as canonical basis classes.

Define the *vertical shift operator* $S : \mathbb{SK}_{m,n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{SK}_{m,n+1}$ by the rule

$$S([a, \mathbf{g}, n]) = (-1)^{|\mathbf{g}|} \begin{cases} [a, \mathbf{g}, n+1], & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ -\sum_{i=1}^{q-2} i[\gamma^i a, \mathbf{g}, n+1], & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

and define the *diagonal shift operator* $\Delta_{\mathbf{p}} : \mathbb{SK}_{m,n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{SK}_{m-1,n+2}$ associated with a prime \mathbf{p} by the rule:

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{p}}([a, \mathbf{g}, n]) = 0, \quad \text{if } \mathbf{p} \nmid \mathbf{g},$$

and if $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2 \cdots \mathbf{p}_m$ with $\mathbf{p}_1 < \mathbf{p}_2 < \cdots < \mathbf{p}_m$,

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{p}_r}([a, \mathbf{g}, n]) = (-1)^r [a, \mathbf{g}/\mathbf{p}_r, n+2].$$

The reader can check directly the following lemma, or refer to [Da, Thms. 4-5].

Lemma 3. i) $S\delta + \delta S = q-1$ and $\partial S + S\partial = 0$. Thus $(\partial + \delta)S + S(\partial + \delta) = q-1$.
ii) $\partial\Delta_{\mathbf{p}} = \Delta_{\mathbf{p}}\partial$ and $\delta\Delta_{\mathbf{p}} = \Delta_{\mathbf{p}}\delta$.

Given a canonical basis class $[0, \mathbf{g}, -n]$ with $|\mathbf{g}| = n$ even, one can construct a representing cycle

$$C = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n C_{i,-i}, \quad C_{n,-n} = [0, \mathbf{g}, -n],$$

such that $C_{i,-i} = \sum n_j [a_j, \mathbf{g}_j, -i]$ with $\text{sgn}(a_j) = 1$ for i odd, $\text{sgn}(a_j) \neq \gamma^{q-2}$ for i even, and no term of the form $[0, \mathbf{h}, -m]$ except $[0, \mathbf{g}, -n]$ occurs, as follows.

Suppose that one has constructed $C_{i,-i}$. If $\partial C_{i,-i} = \sum_j m_j [a_j, \mathfrak{g}_j, -i]$, then

$$C_{i-1,1-i} = (-1)^{i-1} \begin{cases} \sum_j m_j \langle a_j \rangle [a_j, \mathfrak{g}, 1-i], & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ \sum_j m_j \sum_{k \geq 0}^{\kappa(a_j)-1} [\gamma^{k-\kappa(a_j)} a_j, \mathfrak{g}, 1-i], & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where $\text{sgn}(a_j) = \gamma^{\kappa(a_j)}$ with $0 \leq \kappa(a_j) < q-1$.

We call C a *semi-canonical lifting*. Such a construction also works for canonical basis classes of H^1 and for the boundary elements of \mathbb{SK} .

For an element C of \mathbb{SK} and a square-free monic polynomial \mathfrak{g} , we let $C^{\{\mathfrak{g}\}}$ be the \mathfrak{g} -component, i.e., the part that includes those of the form $[*, \mathfrak{g}, *]$. Following the same lines as Proposition 7 of [Da], we have:

Proposition 4. *Let $C = \bigoplus_{i+j=\ell} C_{i,j}$ be a cycle in \mathbb{SK} . For a fixed monic square-free polynomial \mathfrak{g} , write $C_{k,\ell-k}^{\{\mathfrak{g}\}} = \sum n_i [a_i, \mathfrak{g}, \ell-k]$. Then we have*

$$\sum n_i [a_i] \in \begin{cases} H^1(J, \mathbb{U}) & \text{if } \ell-k \text{ is odd,} \\ H^2(J, \mathbb{U}) & \text{if } \ell-k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

The assertions in the next proposition are the analogues of Theorem 8 and Propositions 3 and 4 in [Da]. The ideas of the proof are taken from there.

Proposition 5. *Let $\mathbf{a} = \sum m_i [a_i] \in H^2(J, \mathbb{U})$. We have*

- i) *For each $\mathfrak{t} \in (A/\mathfrak{f})^*$, $\sum m_i \langle a_i \rangle = \sum m_i \langle \mathfrak{t} a_i \rangle$.*
- ii) *Let m be the coefficient of $[0]$ in \mathbf{a} . Then $q-1$ divides $\sum m_i - m$.*
- iii) *Let C be a cycle in \mathbb{SK} such that $C = \bigoplus_{i+j=0} C_{i,j}$, $C_{0,0} = \sum m_i [a_i, 1, 0]$, and $(\partial + \delta)C = 0$. Let further*

$$C_{1,-1} = \sum n_j [b_j, \mathfrak{p}_j, -1].$$

Then $\sum n_j \deg \mathfrak{p}_j \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$.

2. ALGEBRAIC GAMMA MONOMIALS

Thakur ([Th]) defined some Γ -function in characteristic p . We change Thakur's definition slightly by the formula

$$\Gamma(z) = \prod_{\mathfrak{a} \in A_+} \left(1 + \frac{z}{\mathfrak{a}}\right)^{-1},$$

where A_+ is the set of all monic polynomials in A . This $\Gamma(z)$ is just the $\Pi(z)$ of Thakur. Let $\tilde{\pi}$ denote the fundamental period of the Carlitz module, which is unique up to a factor of \mathbb{F}_q^* . Let $e = e_C$ be the Carlitz exponential. The Γ -function has the following nice properties.

Theorem 6 ([Th], Theorem 6.1.1, Theorem 6.2.1). (1) Reflection formula:

$$\prod_{\theta \in J} \Gamma(\theta z) = \frac{\tilde{\pi} z}{e(\tilde{\pi} z)}.$$

(2) Multiplication formula: For $\mathfrak{f} \in A_+$ of degree d we have

$$\prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \in A \\ \deg \mathfrak{a} < d}} \Gamma\left(\frac{z + \mathfrak{a}}{\mathfrak{f}}\right) = \tilde{\pi}^{(q^d - 1)/(q - 1)} ((-1)^d \mathfrak{f})^{q^d/(1 - q)} R_d(z) \Gamma(z),$$

where $R_d(z) = \prod_{\substack{\deg \mathfrak{a} < d \\ \mathfrak{a} \text{ monic}}} (z + \mathfrak{a})$.

For $a \in K/A$ we denote by $\{a\}$ the representative of a such that $|a|_\infty < 1$, where $||_\infty$ is the absolute value at $\infty = (\frac{1}{T})$. For each $\mathbf{a} = \sum m_i[a_i] \in \mathcal{A}$, we define the Γ -monomial, e -monomial, and r -monomial, respectively, by

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{a}) = \tilde{\pi}^{\frac{TS(\mathbf{a})}{q-1}} \prod \Gamma(\{a_i\})^{-m_i},$$

$$e(\mathbf{a}) = \prod_{a_i \neq 0} e(\tilde{\pi}a_i)^{m_i}, \quad \text{and} \quad r(\mathbf{a}) = \prod_{a_i \neq 0} \{a_i\}^{m_i}.$$

By abuse of notation, we also write $\Gamma(\sum n_i[a_i, *, *])$ to mean $\Gamma(\sum n_i[a_i])$. This notation will also be applied to e - and r -monomials. In what follows $a \in K/A$ always means that $a = \{a\}$ unless otherwise stated. It is known that $\Gamma(\mathbf{a})$ is algebraic over K if $\mathbf{a} = \sum m_i[a_i] \in H^2(J, \mathbb{U})$. In fact, we have shown that $\Gamma(\mathbf{a})^{q-1} = {}^{q-1}\sqrt{r}e(\mathbf{a})$ for some $r \in K^*$; see [BGY, Thm. 7.2]. Using the double complex, we can express r explicitly. Let $C = \bigoplus_{i+j=0} C_{i,j}$ be a cycle in $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{K}$ such that $C_{0,0} = \sum m_i[a_i, 1, 0]$ and $(\partial + \delta)C = 0$. Then $(\partial + \delta)SC = (q-1)C$ and $(q-1)C_{0,0} = \delta SC_{0,0} + \partial SC_{1,-1}$. Note that $\Gamma((q-1)C_{0,0}) = \Gamma(\mathbf{a})^{q-1}$ and $\Gamma(\delta SC_{0,0}) = e(\mathbf{a})/r(\mathbf{a})$. We get

$$(2.1) \quad \Gamma(\mathbf{a})^{q-1} = \frac{\Gamma(\partial SC_{1,-1})}{r(\mathbf{a})} e(\mathbf{a}).$$

Now the following Kummer property of Γ -monomials, which originally is due to Sinha([Si]), is a direct result of the equality. We denote by $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ the cyclotomic function field of conductor \mathfrak{f} .

Theorem 7. *Let $\mathbf{a} = \sum m_i[a_i] \in H^2(J, \mathbb{U})$ and let \mathfrak{f} be the least common multiple of the denominators of \mathbf{a} . Then $K_{\mathfrak{f}}(\Gamma(\mathbf{a}))/K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ is a Kummer extension.*

Proof. For any irreducible polynomial \mathfrak{p} with degree d , we have

$$\partial S[b, \mathfrak{p}, -1] = \sum_{i=1}^{q-2} i([\gamma^i b, 1, 0] - \sum_{\deg \mathfrak{u} < d} [\frac{\gamma^i b + \mathfrak{u}}{\mathfrak{p}}, 1, 0])$$

and thus

$$\Gamma(\partial S[b, \mathfrak{p}, -1]) = ((-1)^d \mathfrak{p})^{\frac{q^d(q-2)}{2}} \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{q-2} R_d(\gamma^i \{b\})^{-i} \right).$$

If $2 \mid q$, then $\Gamma(\partial S[b, \mathfrak{p}, -1]) \in K$. If $2 \nmid q$, since ${}^{q-1}\sqrt{(-1)^d \mathfrak{p}} \in K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we get the result by Eq (2.1). \square

The key point in the study of Γ -monomials by means of the double complex is that the factor $\Gamma(\partial SC_{1,-1})$ in (2.1) is very simple, if C is a canonically lifted cycle, and for a general cycle C we get information about that factor using homological algebra. In fact, we have the following theorem and corollary, the lines of proof of which are again taken from [Da, Sect. 9].

Theorem 8. *Let n be an even positive integer. Let $C = \bigoplus C_{i,-i}$ be the semi-canonically lifted cycle from the basis class $[0, \mathfrak{g}, -n]$, where \mathfrak{g} is a square-free monic polynomial divisible by n irreducible polynomials. Let $\mathbf{a} = \sum m_i[a_i]$, where $C_{0,0} = \sum m_i[a_i, 1, 0]$. Then $\Gamma(\mathbf{a})^{q-1} \in K_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Furthermore,*

i) *If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{q}$ with $d = \deg \mathfrak{p}$ and $e = \deg \mathfrak{q}$, then*

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{a})^{q-1} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\mathfrak{q}^d}{\mathfrak{p}^e}} e(\mathbf{a}) \pmod{K^*}.$$

ii) If $n \geq 4$, then

$$\Gamma(\partial SC_{1,-1}) \in K^* \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(\mathbf{a})^{q-1} \equiv e(\mathbf{a}) \pmod{K^*}.$$

Corollary. Let $n \geq 4$ be an even integer. Let $\mathbf{a} = \sum m_i[a_i]$ represent the basis class $[0, \mathfrak{g}, -n]$ with $|\mathfrak{g}| = n$, not necessarily a semi-canonical representative. Then

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{a})^{q-1} \equiv e(\mathbf{a}) \pmod{K^*}.$$

The following result is an analogue of Theorem 11 in [Da].

Proposition 9. Let $\mathbf{a} = \sum m_i[a_i] \in H^2(J, \mathbb{U})$. Then, with notation as in Proposition 5, we have

$$\frac{e(\mathbf{a})}{e(\mathbf{a}^t)} = \theta_t e(\mathbf{b})^{(q-1)},$$

for some $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\theta_t = \pm 1$.

Proof. Let $C = \bigoplus_{i+j=0} C_{i,j}$ be a cycle in $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{K}$ such that $C_{0,0} = \sum m_i[a_i, 1, 0]$. Then $C - C^t$ is a boundary. Let $B = \bigoplus_{i+j=1} B_{i,j}$ be a chain in $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{K}$ such that $(\partial + \delta)B = C - C^t$. We have $\frac{e(\mathbf{a})}{e(\mathbf{a}^t)} = e(\partial B_{1,0})e(\delta B_{0,1})$. Note that

$$e(\partial[0, \mathfrak{p}, 0]) = \mathfrak{p} = \prod_{\substack{\deg u < \deg \mathfrak{p} \\ \text{monic}}} (-1)^{\frac{q^{\deg \mathfrak{p}} - 1}{q-1}} e\left(\frac{u}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^{q-1},$$

and

$$e(\partial[a, \mathfrak{p}, 0]) = 1, \quad \text{if } a \neq 0,$$

and that $e(\delta[a, 1, 1]) = -e(a)^{q-1}$. We get the result. \square

Remark. As the referee points out, if one defines

$$\sin a = {}^{q-1}\sqrt{-1} \cdot e(\{a\}/\text{sgn}\{a\})$$

for $a \in K \setminus \mathbb{A}$, then, by making the obvious definition of $\sin \mathbf{a}$, one has

$$\frac{\sin \mathbf{a}}{\sin \mathbf{a}^t} = (\sin \mathbf{b})^{q-1},$$

in strict analogy with Theorem 11 of [Da].

Example. Let $q = 3$, $\mathbf{a} = [\frac{1}{T+1}] - [\frac{T-1}{T(T+1)}]$, and $\mathbf{t} = -T + 1$. Then

$$\frac{e(\mathbf{a})}{e(\mathbf{a}^t)} = \frac{e(\tilde{\pi} \frac{1}{T(T+1)})}{e(\tilde{\pi} \frac{T-1}{T(T+1)})} = -e(\tilde{\pi} \frac{1}{T(T+1)})^2,$$

since $\lambda = e(\tilde{\pi} \frac{1}{T(T+1)})$ satisfies the relation $\lambda^4 + (T+1)\lambda^2 + 1 = 0$.

If $\mathbf{t} = -1$, then

$$\frac{e(\mathbf{a})}{e(\mathbf{a}^t)} = 1 = e(\mathbf{0})^2.$$

Thus θ_t changes as \mathbf{t} varies.

Theorem 10. Let $\mathbf{a} = \sum m_i[a_i] \in H^2(J, \mathbb{U})$. Let \mathfrak{f} be the least common multiple of the denominators of the a_i and let $\mathbf{t} \in (A/\mathfrak{f})^*$. Then

$$\frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{a})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a}^t)} \in K_{\mathfrak{f}}.$$

Proof. With notation as in the proof of Proposition 9, let $B_{1,0} = \sum \ell_j [c_j, \mathfrak{p}_j, 0]$. We may assume that B is a semi-canonically lifted chain. Then the denominators of c_j divide \mathfrak{f} . From the proof of Proposition 9, it suffices to show that $\Gamma(\partial B_{1,0}) \in K_{\mathfrak{f}}$. It can be easily checked that

$$\Gamma(\partial B_{1,0}) \equiv (-1)^{\frac{\sum \ell_j \deg \mathfrak{p}_j}{q-1}} \prod \mathfrak{p}_j^{\frac{\ell_j}{q-1}} \pmod{K_{\mathfrak{f}}^*}.$$

Thus the result follows. \square

Similarly, if \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{a}' represent the same class in $H^2(J, \mathbb{U})$, then $\frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{a})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a}')} \in K_{\mathfrak{f}}$.

3. CRITERION FOR $H^1(J, \mathbb{U})$

The following conclusion is shown in [BGY, Cor. 4.2].

Lemma 11. *Let $\mathbf{b} = \sum m_i [b_i] \in H^1(J, \mathbb{U})$. Then for all i , $b_i \neq 0$.*

Lemma 12. *Let $\mathbf{b} = \sum m_i [b_i] \in H^1(J, \mathbb{U})$, representing any canonical basis class of $H^1(J, \mathbb{U})$ indexed by a monic square-free polynomial divisible by at least three primes. Let $C = \bigoplus_{i+j=1} C_{i,j}$ be a cycle such that $C_{0,1} = \sum m_i [b_i, 1, 1]$. Assume that no term of the form $[0, \mathfrak{p}, 0]$ appears in $C_{1,0}$. Then*

$$\sum m_i \equiv 0 \pmod{(q-1)}.$$

Proof. Note that $IS(\partial[b, p, 0]) = \frac{q^{\deg p} - 1}{q-1} \equiv \deg \mathfrak{p} \pmod{(q-1)}$ for $b \notin A$. Now follow the proof of Proposition 13 of [Da]. \square

It is shown in [BGY] that $\mathbf{b} \in H^1(J, \mathbb{U})$ if and only if $|r(\mathbf{b})|_{\infty} = |r(\mathbf{b}^t)|_{\infty}$ for any $\mathbf{t} \in (A/\mathfrak{f})^*$, where \mathfrak{f} is the least common multiple of the denominators of the b_i . Here we give another proof of the necessity of this using the double complex. In this way one can get some more information about the e -monomials.

Theorem 13. *Let $\mathbf{b} = \sum m_i [b_i] \in H^1(J, \mathbb{U})$ and let \mathfrak{f} be the least common multiple of the denominators of the b_i . Then*

$$|r(\mathbf{b})|_{\infty} = |r(\mathbf{b}^t)|_{\infty},$$

for all $\mathbf{t} \in (A/\mathfrak{f})^*$. Furthermore, we have more information about e -monomials in the following special cases:

First case: If \mathbf{b} represents a canonical basis class of $H^1(J, \mathbb{U})$, indexed by a single irreducible polynomial \mathfrak{p} , then $e(\mathbf{b})^{(q-1)} = e(\mathbf{b})^{(q-1)} = \sqrt{\pm \mathfrak{p}}$.

Second case: Let \mathbf{b} represent a canonical basis class of $H^1(J, \mathbb{U})$ indexed by a monic square-free polynomial divisible by at least three primes. Let $C = \bigoplus C_{i,-i+1}$ be a cycle such that $C_{0,1} = \sum m_i [b_i, 1, 1]$. Assume that no term of the form $[0, \mathfrak{p}, 0]$ appears in $C_{1,0}$. Then $e(\mathbf{b}^t) \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ for any $\mathbf{t} \in (A/\mathfrak{f})^*$.

Proof. The first statement follows by linearity from the two special cases, since $|r(\mathbf{b})|_{\infty} = |e(\mathbf{b})|_{\infty}$. Let $C = \bigoplus C_{i,-i+1}$ be a cycle such that $C_{0,1} = \sum m_i [b_i, 1, 1]$.

First Case: Let $C_{1,0} = [0, \mathfrak{p}, 0]$. Then we know that $e(-\partial C_{1,0}) = e(-\partial C_{1,0}^t) = \mathfrak{p}$. Thus

$$e(\delta C_{0,1}) = e(\delta C_{0,1}^t) = \mathfrak{p}.$$

However, $e(\delta C_{0,1}) = (-1)^{\sum m_i} e(\mathbf{b})^{q-1}$ and $e(\delta C_{0,1}^t) = (-1)^{\sum m_i} e(\mathbf{b}^t)^{q-1}$. Then the result follows from the fact that $\sigma_{\mathbf{t}} e(\mathbf{b}) = e(\mathbf{b}^t)$.

Second Case: In this case, $e(\partial C_{1,0}) = 1$. Then the result follows in the same way as the first case, by using Lemma 11. \square

The following proposition is a direct consequence of Theorem 13.

Proposition 14. *Let $\mathbf{b} = \sum m_i[b_i] \in H^1(J, \mathbb{U})$. Assume that \mathbf{b} represents a canonical basis class of $H^1(J, \mathbb{U})$, indexed by monic square-free polynomials divisible by at least three monic irreducibles. Let C be a cycle in $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{K}$ such that $C = \bigoplus_{i+j=0} C_{i,j}$, $C_{0,0} = \sum m_i[b_i, 1, 0]$ and $(\partial + \delta)C = 0$. Assume that no term of the form $[0, \mathfrak{p}, -1]$ appears in $C_{1,-1}$. Then for each \mathfrak{t} coprime to the least common multiple of the denominators of the b_i , we have*

$$\prod_i \operatorname{sgn}(\{b_i \mathfrak{t}\})^{m_i} = \prod_i \operatorname{sgn}(\{b_i\})^{m_i}.$$

Proof. From Theorem 13, we know that $e(\mathbf{b}) \in K^*$. Thus $e(\mathbf{b}^{\mathfrak{t}}) = \sigma_{\mathfrak{t}}e(\mathbf{b}) = e(\mathbf{b})$ and, further, $\operatorname{sgn}(e(\mathbf{b})) = \operatorname{sgn}(e(\mathbf{b}^{\mathfrak{t}}))$. Since $\sum m_i \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$ from Lemma 12, we get the result. \square

4. GALOIS PROPERTIES OF $K_{\mathfrak{f}}(\Gamma(\mathbf{a}))/K$

Let $\mathbf{a} = \sum m_i[a_i] \in H^2(J, \mathbb{U})$, and let \mathfrak{f} be the least common multiple of the denominators of the a_i . In this section we consider the extension $K_{\mathfrak{f}}(\Gamma(\mathbf{a}))$ over K . Let $C = \bigoplus C_{i,-i}$ be a cycle in $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{K}$ such that $C_{0,0} = \sum m_i[a_i, 1, 0]$. Write

$$v = \Gamma(\partial SC_{1,-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(\mathbf{a})^{q-1} = ve(\mathbf{a})/r(\mathbf{a}).$$

Let σ be an element of $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ whose restriction to $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ is $\sigma_{\mathfrak{t}}$, where \bar{K} is the separable closure of K . Then

$$\left(\frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{a})}{\sigma\Gamma(\mathbf{a})} \right)^{q-1} = \frac{v}{\sigma v} \theta_{\mathfrak{t}} e(\mathbf{b})^{q-1},$$

where $\theta_{\mathfrak{t}}$ and \mathbf{b} are given in Proposition 9. Hence

$$\frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{a})}{\sigma\Gamma(\mathbf{a})} \in K_{\mathfrak{f}} \iff \frac{v}{\sigma v} \theta_{\mathfrak{t}} = 1.$$

When is $K_{\mathfrak{f}}(\Gamma(\mathbf{a}))/K$ a Galois extension? The following theorems are the main results of the paper.

Theorem 15. *Assume q is odd. Let $\mathbf{a} = \sum m_i[a_i] \in H^2(J, \mathbb{U})$, and let \mathfrak{f} be the least common multiple of the denominators of the a_i . Then $K_{\mathfrak{f}}(\Gamma(\mathbf{a}))$ is a Galois extension of K .*

In the number field case, Das first shows the analogue of Theorem 8' [Da, Thm. 15(C)] and then derives easily his main result [Da, Thm. 16]. In our case, Theorem 8' is hard to prove because of the extra factor in the functional equation of the Γ -function. So we first show this theorem and then derive Theorem 8'. In the following proof we omit some complicated computations as suggested by the referee, because these are not interesting and do not offer any deeper insights.

Proof. The notation is as above. Using the analogue of [Da, Prop. 16] we can assume that the cycle C that \mathbf{a} represents is semi-canonically lifted from a basis class. We know from Proposition 5 that $e(\mathbf{a})$ and $e(\mathbf{a}^{\mathfrak{t}})$ lie in $K_{\mathfrak{f}}^+$, the maximal real subfield of $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$. Hence the signs of $e(\mathbf{a})$ and $e(\mathbf{a}^{\mathfrak{t}})$ make sense. It is not hard to get $\operatorname{sgn}(e(\mathbf{a})/e(\mathbf{a}^{\mathfrak{t}})) = 1$.

Let B be a semi-canonically lifted chain such that $C - C^t = (\partial + \delta)B$. Let $B_{0,1} = \sum n_j [b_j, 1, 1]$ and let $\mathbf{b} = \sum n_j [b_j]$. We see that $\text{sgn}(e(\mathbf{b})^{q-1}) = (-1)^{\sum n_j}$ and thus $\theta_t = (-1)^{\sum n_j}$ by Proposition 9.

Write $B_{1,0} = \sum l_k [c_k, \mathfrak{p}_k, 0]$. Using the facts that $\partial B_{1,0} + \delta B_{0,1} = C_{0,0} - C_{0,0}^t$ and $IS(C_{0,0} - C_{0,0}^t) = 0$, we see that

$$\sum l_k \deg \mathfrak{p}_k \equiv \sum n_j \pmod{2}.$$

Since $\text{sgn}(\Gamma(\partial[b, \mathfrak{p}, 0])^{q-1}) = (-1)^{\deg \mathfrak{p}}$, we get

$$\text{sgn}(\Gamma(\partial B_{1,0})^{q-1}) = (-1)^{\sum l_k \deg \mathfrak{p}_k} = (-1)^{\sum n_j} = \theta_t.$$

So we need to relate the sign of $\Gamma(\partial B_{1,0})^{q-1}$ to $v/\sigma_t v$, for which we consider two cases separately.

First Case: \mathbf{a} represents the basis class $[0, \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{q}, -2]$. Write $d_{\mathfrak{p}} = \deg \mathfrak{p}$ and $N_{\mathfrak{p}} = \#\{\mathbf{a} : \text{monic}, d_{\mathbf{a}} < d_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{sgn}(\{\frac{t\mathbf{a}}{\mathfrak{p}}\}) \notin \mathbb{F}_q^{*2}\}$. Using Theorem 8 and the analogue of the classical Gauss lemma, we get by direct calculation

$$\frac{v}{\sigma v} = (-1)^{d_{\mathfrak{p}} N_{\mathfrak{q}} + d_{\mathfrak{q}} N_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \text{sgn}(\Gamma(\partial B_{1,0})^{q-1}).$$

Thus we have the result in the first case.

Second Case: \mathbf{a} represents a canonical basis class indexed by a squarefree polynomial \mathfrak{g} divisible by at least four distinct irreducibles. In this case $v \in K$ by Theorem 8, and so $\frac{v}{\sigma v} = 1$. We define some operators on $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{K}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{t} : [a, *, *] &\mapsto [a^t, *, *], \\ I : [a, *, k] &\mapsto \langle a \rangle [a, *, k-1] \quad \text{for } k \text{ odd}, \\ J : [a, *, k] &\mapsto \sum_{l=0}^{\kappa(a)-1} [\gamma^{l-\kappa(a)} a, *, k-1] \quad \text{for } k \text{ even}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa(a)$ is defined by $\text{sgn}(a) = \gamma^{\kappa(a)}$ with $0 \leq \kappa(a) < q-1$. Note that $JI = 0$.

Let C be the cycle obtained by the semi-canonical lifting of $k_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Our aim is to compute $\text{sgn}(\Gamma(\partial B_{1,0})^{q-1}) = (-1)^{\sum l_k \deg \mathfrak{p}_k}$, where $B_{1,0} = \sum l_k [c_k, \mathfrak{p}_k, 0]$. So we only need to consider the parities of the total sum of $B_{1,0}^{\{\mathfrak{p}_k\}}$. Let $C_{n,-n} = [0, \mathfrak{g}, -n]$. Then we have

$$B_{1,0} = (J\partial I t - JtI\partial)C_{2,-3} + J\partial I J\partial E + J\partial I\partial JF,$$

for some chains $E, F \in \mathbb{S}\mathbb{K}$. A straightforward but tedious computation shows that $TS(B_{1,0}^{\{\mathfrak{p}\}}) \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$. Then $\text{sgn}(\Gamma(\partial B_{1,0})^{q-1}) = 1$, which implies the result. Lemma 12 and Proposition 14 are used in the course of the computation. \square

We know from Proposition 9 that $\mathbb{F}K_{\mathfrak{f}}(\sqrt[q-1]{e(\mathbf{a})})$ is a Galois extension of K , where \mathbb{F} is the quadratic extension of \mathbb{F}_q . But in the second case we get more.

Theorem 16. *Let $\mathbf{a} \in H^2(J, \mathbb{U})$ represent a canonical basis class indexed by a squarefree polynomial \mathfrak{f} divisible by at least four distinct irreducibles. Then $K_{\mathfrak{f}}(\sqrt[q-1]{e(\mathbf{a})})$ is Galois over K .*

Proof. Since $\frac{e(\mathbf{a})}{\sigma_t e(\mathbf{a})} = \frac{e(\mathbf{a})}{e(\mathbf{a}^t)} = \theta_t e(\mathbf{b})^{q-1}$, for some \mathbf{b} , $K_{\mathfrak{f}}(\sqrt[q-1]{e(\mathbf{a})})$ is Galois over K if and only if $\theta_t = 1$. Thus the result follows from the proof of Theorem 15. \square

We have seen in the proof of Theorem 15 that if \mathbf{a} represents a canonical basis class indexed by a square-free polynomial \mathbf{g} divisible by at least four irreducibles, then the total degree of $B_{1,0}^{\{\mathbf{p}\}}$ is divisible by $q-1$ for any prime \mathbf{p} and $\theta_t = 1$. Hence $\Gamma(\partial B_{1,0}) \in K^*$. From the proof of Proposition 9, we have

$$\left(\frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{a})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a}^t)} \right)^{q-1} = \Gamma(\partial B_{1,0})^{q-1} \Gamma(\delta B_{0,1})^{q-1} = \Gamma(\partial B_{1,0})^{q-1} r(B_{0,1})^{1-q} \theta_t \frac{e(\mathbf{a})}{e(\mathbf{a}^t)}.$$

Finally, we have the following stronger version of Theorem 8.

Theorem 8'. *Let $n \geq 4$ be an even positive integer. Let $C = \bigoplus C_{i,-i}$ be the semi-canonically lifted cycle from the basis class $[0, \mathbf{g}, -n]$, where \mathbf{g} is a square-free monic polynomial divisible by n irreducible polynomials. Let $\mathbf{a} = \sum m_i [a_i]$, where $C_{0,0} = \sum m_i [a_i, 1, 0]$. Then*

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{a})^{q-1} = r e(\mathbf{a}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(\mathbf{a}^t)^{q-1} = r s^{q-1} e(\mathbf{a}^t)$$

for some $r, s \in K^*$.

In [BY] we proved that if $\mathbf{a} \in H^2(J, \mathbb{U})$ represents the basis class $[0, \mathbf{pq}, -2]$, then $K_{\mathbf{pq}}(\sqrt[q-1]{e(\mathbf{a})})$ is nonabelian over K . Here we also give an example where $K_{\mathbf{f}}(\Gamma(\mathbf{a}))$ is not abelian over K .

Example. We assume that $q = 3$. We can easily compute that the cycle $C = C_{0,0} \oplus C_{1,-1} \oplus C_{2,-2}$ is the semi-canonically lifted cycle of the canonical basis class $[0, T(T+1), -2]$, where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{2,-2} &= [0, T(T+1), -2], \\ C_{1,-1} &= [\frac{1}{T}, T+1, -1] - [\frac{1}{T+1}, T, -1], \\ C_{0,0} &= [\frac{1}{T+1}, 1, 0] - [\frac{T-1}{T(T+1)}, 1, 0]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{a}_{T(T+1)} = [\frac{1}{T+1}] - [\frac{T-1}{T(T+1)}].$$

A simple computation gives

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{a}_{T(T+1)})^2 = \sqrt{\frac{T}{T+1}} \frac{e(\frac{\tilde{\pi}}{T+1})}{e(\frac{(T-1)\tilde{\pi}}{T(T+1)})} = u,$$

using the relation $\Gamma(\mathbf{a}_{T(T+1)})^2 = \Gamma(\delta SC_{0,0})\Gamma(\partial SC_{1,-1})$. Let $\sigma = \sigma_{T-1}$ and $\tau = \sigma_{-T+1}$. Let $\lambda = e(\frac{\tilde{\pi}}{T(T+1)})$. Then we can check that

$$\frac{u}{\sigma u} = \lambda^2, \quad \frac{u}{\tau u} = \lambda^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{u}{\sigma \tau u} = 1.$$

Let

$$v_\sigma = \lambda \quad v_\tau = \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad v_{\sigma\tau} = 1.$$

Let $\tilde{\eta} \in \text{Gal}(K_{\mathbf{f}}(\Gamma(\mathbf{a}))/K)$ be the lifting of $\eta \in \text{Gal}(K_{\mathbf{f}}/K)$ such that $v_{\tilde{\eta}} \tilde{\eta} \sqrt{u} = \sqrt{u}$. Then using the fact that $\lambda^4 + (T+1)\lambda^2 + 1 = 0$, we get $\tilde{\sigma} \tilde{\tau} = -\tilde{\tau} \tilde{\sigma}$ on \sqrt{u} .

Remark. It would be very interesting to know whether $K_{\mathbf{f}}(\Gamma(\mathbf{a}))$ is abelian over K , or equivalently by the last theorem, whether $K_{\mathbf{f}}(\sqrt[q-1]{e(\mathbf{a})})$ is abelian over K , if \mathbf{a} represents a canonical basis class indexed by a monic square-free polynomial divisible by at least four irreducibles. In the classical case this is verified by Das

([Da], Theorem 21), with the aid of a theorem of Deligne (Theorem 7.18(b) of [De], Theorem 19 of [Da]), that is,

$$(4.1) \quad \sigma \left(\frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{a})}{\tau\Gamma(\mathbf{a})} \right) = \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{a}^t)}{\tau\Gamma(\mathbf{a}^t)},$$

where $\mathbf{a} \in H^2(J, \mathbb{U})$, $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ and the restriction of σ on K_f is σ_t . Here \bar{K} is the separable closure of K . Note that it is easy to see that $\frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{a})}{\tau\Gamma(\mathbf{a})} \in K_f$ by Theorem 8. We also note that when \mathbf{a} represents a semi-canonically lifted cycle from a basis class, then

$$\sigma \left(\frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{a})}{\tau\Gamma(\mathbf{a})} \right)^{q-1} = \left(\frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{a}^t)}{\tau\Gamma(\mathbf{a}^t)} \right)^{q-1},$$

using Theorem 8'.

If one disposes of an analogue of Deligne's theorem above, then one can easily show, with the aid of Theorem 8' and following the same method as in [Da], that $K_f(\Gamma(\mathbf{a}))$ is abelian over K if \mathbf{a} satisfies the above conditions.

Sinha [Si] has proven Deligne's reciprocity for function fields (Theorem 7.18(a) of [De]) using Anderson's theory of solitons. Thus one may also use the theory of solitons to prove the analogue of Deligne's theorem (Theorem 7.18(b) of [De]), which is beyond the reach of the present paper. However, we hope that an elementary proof using the double complex may be possible. Anderson's recent work on the epsilon extension yields an elementary method to show that $K(\sqrt[q-1]{e(\mathbf{a})})$ is abelian over K .

Let K^{ab} be the maximal abelian extension of K and let $G^{ab} = \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K)$. Following Anderson [An2], two of us [BY] defined an injective homomorphism

$$\mathbf{D} : H^0(G^{ab}, K^{ab*}/K^{ab*(q-1)}) \longrightarrow \bigwedge^2 H^1(G^{ab}, \mathbb{Z}/(q-1)\mathbb{Z})$$

and showed it is an isomorphism. We can also express \mathbf{D} explicitly; see [BY, Sect. 3.5] for detail. The map \mathbf{D} has the property that for $u \in K^{ab*}$,

$$\mathbf{D}(u \bmod K^{ab*(q-1)}) = 0 \iff \sqrt[q-1]{u} \in K^{ab}.$$

This proposes an elementary method for showing that $\sqrt[q-1]{e(\mathbf{a})} \in K^{ab}$ if \mathbf{a} satisfies the condition above. But the calculation of $\mathbf{D}(\sqrt[q-1]{e(\mathbf{a})})$ would be too complicated to take. About this question, we refer the reader to Remark 4.4.2 in [An2].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are very grateful to the referee for many valuable suggestions, especially, for the definition of the vertical shift operator, which is more effective than our old one and enabled a significant shortening of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [An1] G. Anderson, *A double complex for computing the sign-cohomology of the universal ordinary distribution*, Contemp. Math. **224** (1999), 1-27. MR **99k**:11169
- [An2] G. Anderson, *Kronecker-Weber plus epsilon*, Duke Math. J. **114** (2002), 439-475.
- [BGY] S. Bae, E.-U. Gekeler, and L. Yin, *Distributions and Γ -monomials*, Math. Ann. **321** (2001), 463-478. MR **2002i**:33002
- [BY] S. Bae, and L. Yin, *Carlitz-Hayes plus Anderson's epsilon*, Submitted for publication.
- [Da] P. Das, *Algebraic gamma monomials and double coverings of cyclotomic fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), 3557-3594. MR **2000m**:11107

- [De] P. Deligne, J. Milne, A. Ogus, and K. Shih, *Hodge cycles, Motives, and Shimura Varieties*, Lecture Notes in Math. **900** (1982). MR **84m**:14046
- [GR] S. Galovich and M. Rosen, *Distributions on rational function fields*, Math. Ann. **256** (1981), 549-560. MR **83e**:12007
- [Ha] D. Hayes, *Explicit class field theory for rational function fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **189** (1974), 77-91. MR **48**:8444
- [Ou] Y. Ouyang, *The group cohomology of the universal ordinary distribution*, J. reine angew. Math. **537** (2001), 1-32. MR **2002f**:11148
- [Si] S. Sinha, *Deligne's reciprocity for function fields*, J. Number Theory **63** (1997), 65-88. MR **98a**:11074
- [Th] D. Thakur, *Gamma functions for function fields and Drinfeld modules*, Ann. of Math. **134** (1991), 25-64. MR **92g**:11058

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KAIST, TAEJON 305-701, KOREA

E-mail address: shbae@math.kaist.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SAARLAND UNIVERSITY, D-66041 SAARBRUCKEN, GERMANY

E-mail address: gekeler@math.uni-sb.de

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, TAEJON 305-764, KOREA

E-mail address: plkang@math.cnu.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100084, PEOPLE'S
REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: lsyin@math.tsinghua.edu.cn